

**MINUTES OF MEETING
VISTA LAKES
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Vista Lakes Community Development District was held on Thursday, September 13, 2012 at 10:00 A.M. at the Vista Lakes Clubhouse, 8841 Lee Vista Boulevard, Orlando, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Ron Cumello	Chairman
James Shelton	Assistant Secretary
Gary Schuett	Supervisor
Frank Sebestyen	Supervisor
Renee Hale	Supervisor

Also present were:

Gary L. Moyer	Manager: Moyer Management Group
Scott Clark	Clark & Albaugh, LLP.
Ray Malavé	Engineer: Bowyer-Singleton
Barry Roy	Bowyer-Singleton
Brian Smith	Severn Trent Services
Vivian Carvalho	Leland Management

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Moyer called the meeting to order at 10:00 A.M. and stated all Supervisors were present.

Mr. Moyer stated we sent out a revised agenda. It is a shorter agenda than what was provided in your agenda package, dealing with the selection of a Management Company and filling certain vacancies on the Board. One seat was held by the past Chairman, Mr. Rose who moved out of the District. By this act, he was disqualified from being a Supervisor. We also have another vacancy with Pastor Banks seat.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Management Services Presentations and Discussion

Mr. Cumello stated the CDD has been talking for the past two years about consolidating their management services down to one company since there were two companies. We went through a process of receiving proposals from Leland Management and Severn Trent Services and heard presentations at the last meeting from both companies. We have had some time to

review the proposals regarding their qualifications and experience. We set up this special meeting with the current Board members who went through this process so a final decision can be made. I think we need to resolve this matter fairly quickly and move forward. I recommend we award the management services contract to Severn Trent Services as this is in the best interest of the residents of Vista Lakes.

On MOTION by Mr. Cumello seconded by Mr. Schuett with all in favor, the management services contract for management and field services was awarded to Severn Trent Services.

Mr. Clark stated I request the Board direct me to prepare an amendment to the Severn Trent Services management contract dated April 11, 2012 to incorporate the field services proposal and take whatever actions necessary to terminate the existing field services contract with Leland Management.

Mr. Cumello asked are we going to have a new contract with Severn Trent Services as we are not amending their existing contract? We are taking the new scope and adding to the existing work.

Mr. Clark stated it is certainly as easy as amending the existing contract.

Mr. Cumello asked what is the appropriate notice of termination and what work needs to be done in order to have a successful transition?

Mr. Clark responded I do not know what the termination notice is for Leland Management.

Ms. Carvalho stated typically it is 30 days.

Mr. Clark stated I will look at the existing contract to see what is required for termination.

On MOTION by Mr. Cumello seconded by Mr. Schuett with all in favor, the prior motion was amended to direct the CDD Attorney to implement the new management services contract with Severn Trent Services and follow all necessary actions to terminate the contract with Leland Management.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Organizational Matters

A. Appointment of Supervisor to Fill Vacancy in Seat 4

Mr. Moyer stated at this time we will have the Board make appointments of Supervisors to fill the unexpired terms of office. The Supervisor filling Pastor Banks term will serve until November. Mr. Rose's term expires in 2014.

Mr. Cumello stated I nominate Ms. Renee Hale and Mr. Frank Sebestyen who are residents of Vista Lakes to fill the vacant seats.

Mr. Clark stated since the seats have different terms, you need to specify which Supervisor will fill which seat.

Mr. Cumello stated I select Ms. Hale to fill Seat 4. Since the qualifying period was back in May or June of the election year, the Board has the ability to appoint to those seats. Even though one seat expires in November of this year, we just need to re-appoint them to serve another four years. When does the other seat expire?

Mr. Moyer responded one seat expires in November of this year and the other expires in 2014. If Mr. Shelton decides to step down, his term will expire in November.

Mr. Cumello stated I propose Mr. Sebestyen serve in the seat expiring in 2014 and Ms. Hale serve in the seat expiring in November.

Mr. Shelton stated I second the nomination.

On MOTION by Mr. Cumello seconded by Mr. Shelton with all in favor, Mr. Frank Sebestyen was appointed to Seat 3 and Ms. Renee Hale was appointed to Seat 4.

B. Oath of Office

Mr. Moyer being a Notary Public of the State of Florida, administered the oath of office to Mr. Sebestyen and Ms. Hale and a copy of the signed oaths will be made a part of the public record.

I am going to provide a packet of material to Ms. Hale and Mr. Sebestyen for our mailing list. The other is the oath of office I just administered, which I will notarize and make a part of the public record. I would like to take a couple of minutes to discuss some of the requirements of the District, which are mandated. Unlike an HOA, a CDD is a unit of special purpose local government created by Chapter 190. We are a government and as such, Supervisors are considered to be public officials, governed by the Florida Statutes. The Sunshine Law is a fairly

short provision in Statute 286 of the Florida Statutes where no two members serving on the same Board can meet outside of a meeting like we are having today, which has been advertised for the purpose of discussing District business. Anything having to do with this District has to be discussed at an open public meeting. You need to be careful not to violate this Statute because it is a cornerstone of local government in the State of Florida. This does not mean you cannot go to dinner or play golf with each other, but you cannot talk about what is going to happen at a Board meeting and form a consensus.

There is another Florida Statute, Chapter 119 called the Public Records Law. We will provide you with an agenda package for every meeting. If you decide to retain your agenda packages, it is considered to be a public record, which must be made available to anyone requesting it or anything else coming to you from the District. If anyone comes to you and asks to see the records of the District, you would have to provide it to them. Even email exchanges or text messages are considered public documents. You do not even have the right to ask them why they want to see it. They have the absolute right to come to you and ask to see the records you keep for the District. However, there is no requirement for you to keep any of this material. If you decide to throw out your agenda package, you do not have any public records. In the normal course of our business, most public records requests will either come through my office or Mr. Clark's office. I also provided the Guide to the Sunshine Amendment and Code of Ethics for Public Officers and Employees, which oversees the laws for local governments. Please review these materials at your leisure.

Mr. Clark stated one emerging issue in public records, which I will call to your attention, pertains to email addresses. I have recently advised the Supervisors on my Boards to consider establishing a separate email address for their CDD business so someone would not be able to request access to your private emails to look for CDD notes. It is easy to accidentally send emails to other Supervisors by receiving an email and clicking "REPLY TO ALL". You violate the Sunshine Law by having an offline conversation with others. The Manager's office is usually good about putting a note on their emails saying "PLEASE DO NOT REPLY TO ALL". However, I see this violated a lot.

Mr. Moyer stated welcome aboard.

Mr. Cumello stated you have the option of receiving either a hard copy agenda package or an emailed copy.

Mr. Sebestyen stated I do not need a hard copy.

Ms. Hale stated it does not matter to me.

Mr. Moyer stated we will send both of you a hard copy and if you do not want us to continue doing so, we will stop. I brought one so you can be familiar with the types of things we do. Mr. Shelton expressed his desire to resign. I do not know if Mr. Cumello or any of the Board members have anyone to appoint. Mr. Shelton is welcome to serve until the next couple of months as his term does not expire until November.

Mr. Shelton stated since you now have four dependable people, I respectfully resign.

Mr. Moyer stated based on Mr. Shelton's verbal resignation, we need a motion from the Board accepting Mr. Shelton's resignation.

On MOTION by Mr. Cumello seconded by Mr. Schuett with all in favor, Mr. Shelton's resignation was accepted.

Mr. Moyer stated thank you for serving on the Board.

Mr. Shelton stated it was my pleasure working with the Board members and serving the residents of this community.

Mr. Cumello stated we still have a quorum.

Mr. Moyer stated your next meeting is November 8, 2012. Prior to every meeting, we send out notices to the Board.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Other Business

Mr. Cumello stated we received a request from Adams Homes, who purchased 11 lots in Avon, to place a 4x8 sign on Lee Vista Boulevard and Covington Way by the east tower. Since the sign will be on CDD property, there needs to be an agreement with the CDD. I believe the Attorney prepared a draft agreement for consideration.

Mr. Moyer stated I have a standard form permit application stating what they intend to do with a timeframe of 180 days and indemnification in case anyone gets hurt.

Mr. Cumello stated it is up to us whether or not we should allow the sign. This type of sign is similar to what we lived with for the first seven years when the builders installed signage. I think having the sign is in the best interest of the residents so they can sell lots and build their homes as quickly as possible. I understand they sold one lot already. I see no downside to this.

On MOTION by Mr. Cumello seconded by Mr. Schuett, with all in favor, the agreement with Adams Homes to place a 4x8 sign on Lee Vista Boulevard and Covington Way was approved.

Mr. Shelton stated they said all of the designs were approved except for one. They plan to close on the lots on Friday and start construction in mid to late October.

Mr. Cumello asked will staff make this happen?

Mr. Moyer responded I will sign the permit.

Mr. Cumello asked have we received any feedback from them at all?

Mr. Moyer responded the only response was to change 90 days to 180 days.

Mr. Shelton stated there was flooding with the last storm in the area where there was flooding before. A resident, Mr. Randy Young would like to address the Board.

Mr. Young stated last Friday we had flooding in Carlisle due to a storm, mostly in the Remington View cul-de-sac. The rain was intense and the flooding was quick. A year ago, two of the drains did not work. This time, there was a problem with one drain. I took video of the flooding if you want to see it. There was also flooding at the entrance to Waverly/Carlisle. Some driver tried to drive along the side of the water and destroyed the grass. As a neighborhood representative, I am concerned if we end up getting a tropical storm or hurricane, we could have major flooding. This Friday the water was up to my ankles and with the elevation of the homes, three to four hours more of rain could have caused water up to our garages. With a tropical storm or hurricane, the water could go into homes. I am concerned about the operation of the drainage system. I know we have had discussions about this issue before and I just wanted to bring proof of the flooding.

Mr. Cumello stated you can show the video to our Engineer.

Mr. Malavé stated we saw markings of the level of the water. We walked the system all the way out to the master lake. There is a major control structure by the entrance. We discovered a device to minimize debris going through the structure. This created a holding back of the water to the point where the depth of the water was two feet above normal at the time it started raining. The device was removed and the water is now flowing close to the normal water mark. When you add this into the amount of rain, which was a substantial amount in a two to three hour timeframe, this is what caused the flooding. The parameters were designed to a certain storm, which was what all of Vista Lakes was designed to and required by the Water

Management District and the City of Orlando. In the future, we need to make sure your structures are continually washed out and maintained as it is the only discharge to this lake. Right now, the water level is eight inches above normal. We could do an analysis on any other systems and continually monitor to make sure it does not hold water back.

Mr. Cumello asked do we know who clogged the structure?

Mr. Roy responded it is our understanding the lake maintenance people installed the device one week prior to this event in an attempt to make it easier to clean out the weeds. It was a good intention, but it backfired. Three days after the event, the lake was still staged two feet above the normal water because water was being restricted at the structure.

Mr. Cumello asked was this on the Warwick side of the lake?

Mr. Malavé responded yes, by the lift station.

Mr. Cumello asked does the water flow north?

Mr. Malavé responded yes. It discharges to the large lake. Whatever affects the large lake, affects everything else.

Mr. Cumello asked does this lake flow to the other lake and then continues to flow north?

Mr. Roy responded actually everything flows south into the big lake and then goes out through the northeast corner and flows east.

Mr. Cumello asked does it flow to the St. Johns River?

Mr. Roy responded yes.

Mr. Shelton stated what is interesting to me is the fact we have had this problem in Remington Court twice. We have not had this problem anywhere else. Could this be an indication there is something unique about the Remington Court system, which is not anywhere else in Vista Lakes?

Mr. Roy responded I think it is a sensitive system. It has to be able to compensate for any natural occurrence. If there is some deviation from the actual design or the rainfall is more intense, it is more sensitive than any other parts of the Vista Lakes system.

Mr. Shelton asked was it not designed to the proper elevation?

Mr. Malavé responded it is exactly to the required minimum. It does not have a safety factor like some of the other ones because it was one of the first ones built and we were trying to keep everything as minimal as possible to minimize the fill requirements. It is the most sensitive

because it is in a cul-de-sac, but at the same time it requires the most importance of making sure the two outfall structures are always clean.

Mr. Cumello asked how many inches is it from the next street, Lake Carlisle?

Mr. Roy responded this road drops almost a foot and a half.

Mr. Malavé stated the other streets are eight tenths to a foot higher.

Mr. Moyer stated for Mr. Young's benefit, the house pads are at the 100 year storm elevation. Regardless of what elevation the lake is, the houses should be alright.

Mr. Malavé stated correct. After the worst storm in September of last year, adjustments were made.

Mr. Young stated I have seen this happen three times. Last Friday was the most recent. It was not bad as the September storm. I believe part of the flooding may be because of the design of the cul-de-sac. I live on a cul-de-sac and behind us are rows of homes from the Waverly subdivision. They are at a higher elevation than our homes. The runoff from their area runs into our yard and cul-de-sac.

Mr. Malavé stated based on the drawings and as-builts, the only water draining to the cul-de-sac is the water from the lots behind it. Outside of the cul-de-sac, the lots drain to their own system.

Mr. Young stated it was intended to drain this way.

Mr. Malavé stated according to the map, all of the lots drain, but only the ones on the cul-de-sac drain differently. We should probably do a full survey of all of the lots to see how they were built. I recall discussion about adding additional piping to the system at a cost in the range of \$30,000 to \$40,000. This is an alternate way of getting the water out faster. You can get the water into the lake faster, but you still have the problem of the water level of the lake.

Mr. Cumello stated this is my concern. Even if we install an 18 inch pipe, the lake is still going to overflow.

Mr. Malavé stated if the current structure would have been in the same condition with the additional pipe, as soon as the contraption was on the structure, the lake level was up two feet. Even if you had these two instances, you probably would have experienced some flooding in the retention pond as this is where the water goes first and then possibly into the street. Two feet of water is a substantial amount. In addition, the leading was very small on the flow heads so three days later the water was still two feet high.

Mr. Young stated I think we must be the lowest house on the lake and the water was the highest we have ever seen it in three years.

Mr. Clark stated what concerns me is you said someone came out and installed the device. Who was it?

Mr. Malavé responded it was the lake maintenance company. They tried to impede and hold some of the vegetation and debris flowing into the structure to help the system versus letting it flow into the hole.

Mr. Clark asked have we advised them not to do this any more?

Mr. Malavé responded the device was removed.

Mr. Roy stated I believed they were advised not to do this again. Their intention was good as the grates were heavy on top of the structure. I think they were rigging up something they could actually access from above the grates versus lifting the grates to remove any vegetative growth lying across the weir slots.

Mr. Malavé stated one recommendation would be to come up with lighter grates. Then the only thing you have to do is come up with a way to secure the system.

Mr. Clark stated I think it would be intuitive and in their best interest to not modify the system without talking to the Engineer.

Mr. Malavé stated I absolutely agree.

Mr. Roy stated I provided a chart of the rainfall amounts. I was not aware flooding occurred prior to the September event. The system has been in place since 2000. We have been through Hurricanes Charley, Francis and Jean. Someone made reference to the fact eight years ago a black sock was placed in front of inlets during home construction, to keep water from entering the inlets. This was the only other time I was out there. The chart shows the September event where we received four inches of rain and the last event on Friday where we received 1.8 inches of rain in an hour. The rainfall last Friday was not as extreme, but the device held all of the water back and the lakes were two feet high to begin with. I believe this is what caused the flooding last Friday. The September event was due to some vegetative growth in front of the structure and a four inch rain in two hours.

Mr. Young stated I believe there was another large rain event, but everything was working properly. I thought everything was fine until last Friday. The difference this time was

in September only one of the three drains was operating. On Friday, it looked like two of the three drains were operating.

Mr. Roy stated I think you are seeing they do not appear to be taking water because the water in the pipe and inlet backed up. Looking at the grating plan, there was a foot and a half difference from the cul-de-sac.

Mr. Young stated there must be a change in elevation because the south side of the cul-de-sac is dry. Many of my neighbors asked whether the water going into the drain was going directly to the Carlisle Lake and then to Lee Vista Lake.

Mr. Cumello responded it was going to the small lake.

Mr. Roy stated the water goes from one lake to another because they are all interconnected.

Mr. Malavé stated you have two other lakes connecting to the small lake. There are six lakes, which are equalized with pipes. When this lake stages up, it stages up all of the other lakes. All of the levels in these lakes are at elevation 80 and they staged up to elevation 82. You probably saw high water along the docks outside of the Recreation Center. They actually could be higher because the water eventually has to go out. Before the storm, the elevation of the lake was 82 plus the amount of rainfall. It stayed at elevation 82 for three days after the event until the device was removed. Then the water level decreased. We looked at it this morning and it is half down than what it was originally at. The device was removed on Tuesday, which was a day after the lakes recovered to where they should be.

Mr. Young stated the reason why I am asking is because residents around this lake told me there is a debris island forming in part of the lake. Is the water coming off of the cul-de-sac going into the lake and forming a debris island or was the island always there? It seems to be growing and keeping the water from moving faster.

Mr. Roy responded it is a combination of both. Originally when they installed the pipe, they built a lake around it so they could dewater and install the pipe. They dug it out below normal level to a point, but from 12 years of construction and road sediment getting into the pipe, the water washing out formed a delta. I believe there is a sufficient pool area with water leaving the pipe. It is not impacted by the debris island. I do not think it is impacting the ability of the system to drain.

Mr. Young stated I do not live on the lake side so I do not see it. Some neighbors told me about it. Can someone go out there and dig all of the sludge out around the drain?

Mr. Malavé responded we can make a recommendation to get this taken care of.

Mr. Roy stated in looking at the grading plan for Carlisle, those lots are not intended to drain across the lots in the cul-de-sac. They are supposed to drain into a collection system.

Mr. Young stated six years ago I had a pool built and water was going into the street from the house behind us, which had a higher elevation.

Mr. Moyer stated I just want to make sure we are not overlooking the obvious. I am assuming the catch basin was not draining. You said the other two seemed to be operating properly.

Mr. Young stated there are two towards the cul-de-sac and another one towards the intersection.

Mr. Moyer asked was the one not operating at the lowest elevation?

Mr. Young responded they are across from each other. The one not operating was on the west side of the street.

Mr. Malavé stated they are both at the same elevation.

Mr. Moyer stated if it was at the higher elevation, then I would have a concern.

Mr. Malavé stated they were both at the lowest elevation.

Mr. Schuett asked how big is the outflow pipe going east?

Mr. Roy responded 24 inches.

Mr. Schuett asked where does the water flow next going east?

Mr. Roy responded up to where the power lines are east of Chickasaw.

Mr. Schuett asked does it flow into any lakes?

Mr. Roy responded it goes into a canal.

Mr. Cumello stated we are going to continue to have to take more money from the Narcoossee side. Are we going to get to the point where the outflow is not going to be able to handle it?

Mr. Roy responded it is designed to handle different flows coming to it.

Mr. Cumello stated it is designed this way, but our experience is showing us we are taking more and more away.

Mr. Malavé stated it is still the same. Over a period of getting everything developed, it takes time to get to the actual design flow of when all of Narcoossee starts developing to the point where we had it in our design method. It is not showing the total maximum we ever had which is where our permit is. That pipe was designed based on a 48 inch and 54 inch pipe. You will never exceed it. We had these in additional analyses when they were widening Narcoossee about a year and a half ago. No matter how much they developed or whatever they did, as long as they had retention ponds, the affect on our large lake is minimal. When I say minimal, I mean a 100th of a foot impact on our stage for discharge out of the pipe.

Mr. Cumello asked do you have a calculation on how much additional water you expect to take from Narcoossee as it is developed?

Mr. Malavé responded those are in the approved permit calculations.

Mr. Cumello asked percentage-wise, do you have a view of whether it is another 10% or 20% volume?

Mr. Roy responded the rate should be the same as it was pre-development.

Mr. Malavé stated the approved number is what it measured. We did not do an internal measurement.

Mr. Cumello stated logic tells us we are taking more water.

Mr. Malavé stated yes, in total volume.

Mr. Cumello stated we have not taken all of the additional volume, which is going to come when they finish developing Narcoossee.

Mr. Malavé stated they are required to install retention ponds, which they are doing to hold this volume back so you are only getting bleed outs for long periods of time. The bottom line is this control structure needs to be maintained and operated so there are no obstructions because it is a major key element of this entire drainage system.

Mr. Cumello asked does it need to be bigger at some point?

Mr. Malavé responded no. It is large enough to handle future volume of water.

Mr. Roy asked does the District have individual homeowners groups where one person watches the lake?

Mr. Cumello responded no, but I live on this lake and can tell you the water was almost covering the canoe dock. The last time I saw this was in 2004 when we had the three hurricanes.

Mr. Roy asked are you talking about last September?

Mr. Cumello responded no last week.

Mr. Roy stated last September we had a big rain, which caused the water to go above the canoe dock on Vista Lake. It was also pushed onto a portion of the sidewalk.

Mr. Cumello stated this makes sense because it is not backing up on your street. It is backing up onto the cul-de-sac.

Mr. Roy stated correct.

Mr. Cumello stated the lake is taking the flow and moving it into the canal going east.

Mr. Malavé stated the key element to all of the designs depends on whether the finished floor is above the 100 year elevation.

Mr. Cumello stated we just learned FEMA is changing this. We do not even know what the elevation is anymore.

Mr. Roy stated they should be going back to the way it was in 2004.

Mr. Malavé stated the elevation is going to be what we designed it to be. Now they are showing areas, which have nothing to do with and correlates with the elevations.

Mr. Young asked is my street the only street in all of Vista Lakes that has an issue or do other streets have the same problem?

Mr. Roy responded up until last September, no flooding was ever recorded. You said there was flooding at the entrance of Waverly and Carlisle.

Mr. Cumello stated there was flooding from the gate to the intersection.

Mr. Roy stated there must have been a clogged inlet because the elevation is considerably higher than the elevation of the cul-de-sac.

A resident stated I live in Pembroke across from the Publix. When you make a left into the subdivision, the road right before the curve always floods during heavy rains. I was not here last Friday, but whenever we have heavy rains, it floods.

Mr. Malavé stated let me define flooding. During rainfall, those streets are to have water flowing through them as they are used as conduits to get the water to the inlets. You are always going to have water on the roads, especially near the inlets. The flooding we are talking about is after the rainfall when the water just stays in the road. This is what we are seeing during a major event. The water is not supposed to go above the grass in any event, unless it is a substantial event. What we are finding in this specific cul-de-sac, when you have this type of intensity in a short period of time, it does not have immediate capacity to get water from the streets through

the inlets. If you have a full lake, the only place the water can back up to is where it starts. This is what occurred. When we have substantial water on the curb during a four inch rainfall, you will notice water up to your tire.

The resident stated I live on the north side of Lee Vista and our pond is not getting this full. I do not know if the two sides of Lee Vista are regulated differently. Even after a heavy rainfall, our pond does not get high.

Mr. Roy stated this is the only pond in Vista Lakes, which is not interconnected. The normal water in this lake is almost three feet higher than these other lakes. In regards to what Mr. Malavé is discussing, the criteria differs from each municipality. In the City of Orlando, you can flood half of a tract during a designed storm. This means, six feet over from the curb, you can have water flowing down the street. In Orange County, you can have an inch over the crown of the road or top of gutter to top of gutter full of water during the designed storm. The bottom line is you will see water in the streets.

Mr. Moyer stated since we did not receive a call from the City about Lee Vista in front of the middle school, I am assuming the system worked.

Mr. Malavé stated correct.

Mr. Roy stated the Maintenance Department can make sure the outfall is flowing correctly. Because if weeds grow in front of the outfall, the lake would flow over and if there was a rainfall event, there would be no place for the water to go except for in front of the street at Odyssey Middle School. I spoke to them and they said they were maintaining it. We definitely want to look into the island. We could either add some piping or remove the island.

Mr. Cumello stated it does not sound like the pipe is going to fix anything if the water backs up into Vista Lake.

Mr. Malavé stated if the control structure is well maintained and operated, there should be minimal problems.

Mr. Cumello stated I predict we will have this discussion again next year.

Mr. Roy stated the Board may want to entertain installing a lake gauge on the observation dock and in other lakes so residents can see what the elevation is and if they see it starting to climb up, they can call someone.

Mr. Cumello stated Aquatic Systems can check the gauges.

Mr. Moyer stated we can make this part of their report to read the gauges. Mr. Roy, can you bring an estimate or quote on removal of the debris island to the November meeting?

Mr. Roy responded yes.

Mr. Shelton asked is there any mechanism to govern people cutting into the conservation area? I heard someone chopped four feet back beyond the property line. What can prevent someone from going 20 feet back?

Mr. Moyer responded someone reporting it. Then we would report to St. Johns Water Management District who has enforcement power. They can come out to write tickets.

Mr. Shelton asked is going through the CDD appropriate?

Mr. Moyer responded you can do this, but the quickest way is to call St. Johns.

Mr. Clark stated the Water Management Districts like to throw this responsibility onto us. I work with several other Districts where they have sent us threatening letters for the actions of individual residents. I have been cautious to put the District into a position where we let them think we are out there looking for it. I prefer a resident contact the Water Management District directly.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

There being no further business,

On MOTION by Mr. Cumello seconded by Mr. Schuett with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.
--

Gary L. Moyer
Secretary

Ron Cumello
Chairman