

**MINUTES OF MEETING
VISTA LAKES
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Vista Lakes Community Development District was held Wednesday, May 14, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. at the offices of Leland Management, 5955 T.G. Lee Boulevard, Suite 300, Conference Room 3002, Orlando, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

Randy Holihan	Vice Chairman
Ron Cumello	Assistant Secretary
Dr. Harold G. Banks	Assistant Secretary
James Shelton	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Gary L. Moyer	Manager: Moyer Management Group
Barry Roy	Engineer: Bowyer-Singleton
John Dougherty	Servello & Son
Lee Smith	Holland & Knight
Gary van der Laan	Leland Management

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Moyer called the meeting to order and stated all Supervisors were present with the exception of Mr. Rose.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of the Minutes of the April 9, 2008 Meeting

Mr. Moyer stated each Board member received a copy of the minutes of the April 9, 2008 meeting and requested any additions, corrections or deletions.

Mr. Cumello stated under the attendees, Ms. Sharon Eichorn's official title is Vista Lakes Community Director.

On MOTION by Dr. Banks, seconded by Mr. Cumello, with all in favor, the minutes of the April 9, 2008, meeting were approved as amended.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS**Presentation of Fiscal Year 2009
Proposed Budget****A. Discussion of Fiscal Year 2009 Proposed Budget**

Mr. Moyer stated Mr. Cumello is familiar with this process, but I will outline what we want the Board to do today. This is the beginning, not the end, of a process. We generated a budget in consultation with Mr. van der Laan; we looked at expenditures incurred for the first seven to eight months and project those based upon a straight-line basis to come up with a proposed budget. The Board can discuss this budget today, but you will not adopt it until July or August. We will discuss the budget further at your June meeting in some detail and the Board may want to schedule a workshop to discuss some of these line items. The purpose of the Resolution on the agenda for today's meeting is simply to start the process. I will tell you that the non-ad valorem assessment is close to last year's amount. When we get through with this process, there is no reason for the non-ad valorem amount not to be equal to or less than the amount for last year. There is absolutely no reason we should be charging our residents more than what they were charged last year and we certainly have some line items in the budget we can adjust to bring this non-ad valorem assessment below last year's amount. One line item Mr. van der Laan and I talked about this morning was *Irrigation Repair & Maintenance*. We showed some high expenses in this fiscal year as discussed at previous meetings and Mr. van der Laan seems to think we can reduce this line item by \$30,000 to \$40,000, which frees up some money. However, as I mentioned last month, to the degree those monies are freed up and we can still bring this budget in at exactly the same non-ad valorem assessment as last year, the Board needs to decide whether to use some or all of the monies under *Irrigation Repair & Maintenance* for litigation contingency. It is my desire to encourage the Board not to go above the non-ad valorem assessment amount levied last year. There are a couple of reasons for this. First, I am concerned about this not being a good year to be raising assessments and increasing budgets. Secondly, if you raise your non-ad valorem assessment, we have to send out written notices to all residents telling them why we are doing this and informing them of the date, time and location of the public hearing. Frankly, this will cost us more than the couple of dollars over the budget we are talking about and I am committed to reducing this budget so we do not have to do this.

Mr. Cumello stated in the last budget cycle, we had a great deal of discussion about reserves. I noticed in the budget narrative, as we requested, the reserve categories are broken out.

When is a good time to revisit the Reserve Study, since it is already two years old and how good are the initial projections?

Mr. Moyer responded the Board will have to determine this based on our perception of what is occurring with inflation. If we are looking at inflation rates 5%, 6% or 7%, then we should revisit this. However, if we are looking at inflation rates of 2% to 3% three percent, maybe we should revisit it every five years.

Mr. Cumello stated I believe the Reserve Study had a built in 4% inflation. The replacement costs were based on costs at the peak of the construction period when asphalt and concrete were very expensive. I am currently under the impression those costs may have dropped.

Mr. Holihan stated I am not finding this. Are you, Mr. Roy?

Mr. Roy responded I am not seeing this. Materials are still expensive. Contract labor is going down in order to get more jobs. You may have experienced some drop but not a significant one.

Mr. Holihan stated we are currently working on a budget for a new project and our asphalt costs are up by 30%. I thought you were going in the other direction where costs were high as the cost of fuel is impacting so much. I think we should look at it in this way.

Mr. Cumello asked is it appropriate to bring the company who did the Reserve Study back in during this budget cycle to update their replacement cost estimates or should we wait another year? We are using two-year-old numbers.

Mr. Holihan responded when you look at the reserves, we are budgeting less in 2009 than what we budgeted in 2008, by \$21,000.

Mr. Moyer stated the recommendation came straight out of the Reserve Study for 2009.

Mr. Holihan stated I suggest we re-address it in 2010. Since the Reserve Study shows \$79,000, my recommendation is to put the reserve funding back to where it was the year before, if you are taking money out of other areas, just to be cautious.

Mr. Cumello stated we are going to work on this budget for the next meeting or two and this is something we can consider. Is there any input from the Board on whether or not we should revisit the Reserve Study a year from now?

Dr. Banks responded a year from now is good.

Mr. Shelton stated what Mr. Moyer said makes sense to me in terms of inflation and the predicted costs of construction. When they do another Reserve Study, they can do another baseline.

B. Consideration of Resolution 2008-05 Approving the FY 2009 Proposed Budget and Setting a Public Hearing

Mr. Moyer stated at this time, I am requesting that the Board consider Resolution 2008-05. We have to make this budget available to the City and County 60 days before we adopt it. They cannot approve your budget, but the idea is for them to review it and provide any comments. The second Wednesday in July will not meet the 60-day requirement.

Mr. Cumello asked does our fiscal year end on September 30?

Mr. Moyer responded yes, but we need the budget to be adopted and the tax roll certified by the end of August. Perhaps we should hold the public hearing in August and work on the budget in June and July. This is what we did last year.

Mr. Cumello stated I recall having a separate budget workshop in June, but this ended up being a five-minute meeting because no residents attended. Are you proposing we hold a separate meeting and use this facility again?

Mr. Moyer responded if we do not raise the assessments, there will be a notice in the newspaper saying we will adopt the budget. I would be surprised if we get a lot of people to come to our budget hearing. Even last year, we did not have a large turnout, but we had several meetings in between when we refinanced the bonds. We have time to think about this.

Mr. Cumello stated maybe you can come up with a schedule of the critical dates in the process. When do you need final comments from the Supervisors?

Mr. Moyer responded we can work on this budget in June and July. Your August meeting is scheduled for August 13. For the purposes of this Resolution, I request the Board set the public hearing for August 13, 2008, at 10:00 a.m. at this location. If we need to amend the Resolution because we secure another location, this will be easy to do.

On MOTION by Mr. Cumello, seconded by Mr. Holihan, with all in favor, approval was given to Resolution 2008-05 approving the fiscal year 2009 proposed budget and setting a public hearing for August 13, 2008 at 10:00 a.m. at the offices of Leland Management, 5955 T.G. Lee Boulevard, Suite 300, Conference Room 3002, Orlando, Florida.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

District Manager's Report

A. Financial Statements

B. Invoice Approval #94

Mr. Moyer stated the financial statements and Invoice Approval #94 were provided to the Board.

Mr. Cumello asked did we cleanup the billing issues between the HOA and the CDD?

Mr. van der Laan responded yes. We went back several months and corrected any issues and put a new system in place to make sure this issue does not re-occur.

On MOTION by Mr. Cumello, seconded by Dr. Banks, with all in favor, approval was given to the financial statements for March 31, 2008 and Invoice Approval #94 in the amount of \$86,054.91.

C. Consideration of Resolution 2008-06 Requesting the Orange County Supervisor of Elections Conduct the General Election

Mr. Moyer stated this is nothing more than a housekeeping item. Resolution 2008-06 requests the Orange County Supervisor of Elections office to conduct the General Election for Seats 4 and 5 and memorializes what is in State Law dealing with elections.

Mr. Cumello asked who are in these seats? I am in Seat 2.

Mr. Moyer responded Pastor Banks is in Seat 4 and Mr. Shelton is in Seat 5.

On MOTION by Mr. Shelton, seconded by Mr. Cumello, with all in favor, approval was given to Resolution 2008-06 requesting the Orange County Supervisor of Elections conduct the General Election.

D. Discussion of Action Item List

Mr. Moyer discussed the following action items and the status of each item:

- Horizon Easement Agreement
 - Provided to the HOA Attorney for review. This item will be listed as "In Process" on the action item list.
- Kindercare Easement
 - Forwarded to Kindercare with a letter requesting they sign the easement. This item will be monitored until complete.

- Army Corps of Engineer's request
 - A letter was sent to the Army Corps of Engineers advising the Board's agreement to allow them access to District property for their discovery work so long as they did not violate any terms and conditions of the District permits or conservation easements.
- Transfer of District Records from Akerman Senterfitt to Leland Management
 - The records were retrieved from Akerman Senterfitt, and the minutes were placed into binders so they could be easily accessed by the public. The rest of the documents are in boxes and will be delivered to Mr. van der Laan today or tomorrow.

Mr. Moyer continued we will circulate the current action item list to the Board under separate cover.

Mr. Cumello asked will you distribute a list of all the files to the Board?

Mr. Moyer responded yes.

Mr. Cumello asked what is the status of the executed Gate Agreement?

Mr. Moyer responded I contacted Ms. Buzyniski who offered to mail out to me a copy of the executed Gate Agreement. I thought I would have it by today, but I have yet to receive it.

E. Consideration of New District Counsel

Mr. Moyer stated at the last meeting, Mr. Scott Clark from Clark & Albaugh presented his credentials to the Board. I had hoped Shuffield Lowman would also submit a proposal for the Board to review as they do a lot of CDD work; however, they declined our request as most of their work is in the development sector and it is not their practice to sue developers. The other firm, which I am not familiar with, did not provide any of their credentials in terms of municipal or District representation. We contacted them several times, but they did not respond or provide us with anything additional. The only response we received was from Mr. Clark. I do not like for the Board to make a decision just on one response, but Mr. Clark is qualified to do this type of work.

Mr. Holihan asked can I get copies of the proposals you received?

Mr. Moyer responded yes.

Mr. Holihan stated you can email them to me. Do you have one attorney qualified to do the work?

Mr. Moyer responded one who has experience with CDDs, is local and will not bill a fixed fee retainer. There are other firms from Tallahassee who do this type of work, but the travel costs to have them come down here to attend a meeting could exceed \$1,000 per month. I did not think the Board wanted to get involved with having to pay any travel costs. Mr. Clark is qualified to represent the District.

Mr. Cumello asked do you feel the Board should interview Mr. Clark and his firm?

Mr. Moyer responded I think this is a great idea.

Mr. Cumello asked would Mr. Clark be willing to come to our next meeting?

Mr. Moyer responded yes. I would be happy to invite him.

Mr. Holihan stated I understand the concerns, but I question what we will get out of this. I am at a disadvantage because I did not attend the last meeting. However, I would like to see Mr. Clark's credentials.

Mr. Cumello stated Mr. Clark submitted a nice package to us outlining his experience. I do not see any downside to inviting Mr. Clark to our next meeting so the Board can make a decision.

Mr. Holihan stated I agree.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Attorney's Report

Mr. Moyer stated Ms. Buzyniski is finalizing any outstanding items in terms of easements and transfer of the files.

Mr. Cumello stated I requested a copy of the Environmental Report from Ms. Buzyniski. What happened with this request?

Mr. Roy responded as far as I know, the District should have copies of all the Environmental Reports. Are you looking for the report for Phase 1 or all phases?

Mr. Cumello responded there are three reports. I have the Phase 1 report and would like the reports for the other two phases.

Mr. Roy stated I will have to check with the District Engineer. We only have the April 2000 report. There were two other reports from the 1990s.

Mr. Moyer stated we will try to obtain these reports for you. I would be surprised if they were still in existence from the 1990s, but they may be there. We will find out.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Engineer's Report

Mr. Roy stated there are no action items on my list to follow up on.

Mr. Cumello asked is the irrigation on the POA side a CDD issue or a Wachovia issue? We discussed this matter at the last meeting because they were not watering.

Mr. van der Laan responded my understanding from Mr. Roy is this is an issue between Wachovia and Publix.

Mr. Holihan stated it is Wachovia's problem. We were responsible to deliver utility stubs to them, which we did. From that point on, they were responsible. We informed Wachovia about this.

Mr. Cumello asked is it the POA's problem everything is dying or the CDD's problem?

Mr. Holihan responded neither. It is Wachovia's problem. My understanding is in order for them to gain access to the system; they have to bore underneath Chickasaw. In my opinion, they are looking for a way not to do this.

Mr. Shelton asked why did we deliver stubs to them?

Mr. Holihan responded they did not have reclaimed water. They only had water, sewer and electric. We are not responsible to provide them with reclaimed water.

Mr. Cumello stated the residents are complaining about the aesthetics of their property.

Mr. Roy stated this is a POA matter.

Mr. Moyer stated private property is a POA issue rather than a CDD issue.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Community Association Manager –
Agronomist Report**

A. Agronomist Report

Mr. van der Laan stated I would like to introduce Mr. John Dougherty from Servello & Son, who will give you an update on what Servello has been doing since January when they took over the landscaping.

Mr. Dougherty stated we have tried to improve the community. The hottest item we have had was the reclaimed line being shut off at the East Park facility. Alvin from Orange County advised me they had to shut down the reuse facility at East Side. He had an email to this effect, but he refused to send it to me because it was internal documentation. I asked him for a watering schedule and after calling there for a week, I decided to show up at his office. We were told the water was being turned back on at 5:00 p.m. and would run until 2:00 a.m. However, when we went out at 9:30 p.m. to confirm this, there was no water running. We ended up only receiving water for 90 minutes per day and every 20 minutes we checked the zones to see if there was any

water in the lines. After they could not give us a definitive answer, we suggested Mr. van der Laan get a water truck out there to obtain water from the lakes and use a product called "Ecocentral," which is a Hydrochloride product pulling moisture from the air reducing the need for watering by 50%, as we were starting to lose some of the plantings. However, not more than three days after we started doing this, they turned the water back on. I was out there on Saturday running the irrigation manually through the zones to make sure we could run as much water as possible. We saturated the large ornamental trees like the magnolias and holly trees because the irrigation would not bring those back. We have been doing this for the past five days. The Activity and Agronomist Reports were provided to the Board. We are putting in curbing and we are pruning all the plant material. The fertilization and pest control have been responding well to all the plant material, even with the drought we just experienced. The one problem we have was with weeds at the soccer field. I got Mr. van der Laan in contact with someone to assist with this problem so the District will not be charged for the time to take care of this. Everything has been going well. We had an issue with the flowers where the petunias died five weeks after we installed them, but we replaced them at no cost to the CDD with begonias, which seem to last the longest. Things seem to be heading in the right direction. It has been easy working with Mr. van der Laan to get items approved and taking action immediately on the turf and getting water out there. We will be stopping the water service tomorrow as it seems we are going to continue to get water. Mr. Scott Walden at OUC informed us they rerouted the Sand Lake facility to directly pipe it to Lee Vista. Narcoossee, Moss Park and Eagle Creek also experienced the same problems we were experiencing.

Mr. Cumello asked did they say why they shut the system down?

Mr. Dougherty responded I do not know. They would not give us a reason. The email I saw on Alvin's computer was two sentences long from Mr. Ed Carter at the City who said the reuse facility was down at East Park affecting Moss Park, Lee Vista and Eagle Creek. He allowed me to write down all this information, but he could not provide the email to me because it was an internal document. They will never send you emails.

Mr. Shelton asked do you maintain the area alongside of the sidewalk on the west side of Chickasaw and north of Melrose?

Mr. Dougherty responded yes.

Mr. Shelton asked do we need to mow the Melrose shoulder?

Mr. Dougherty responded there is mostly non-irrigated bahia on the west side. I think there is only about 28,000 square feet in total. Once we get some water, we are going to do a weed treatment to try to get the turf green. If we do the treatment without water, we will just burn it up. We spoke to Mr. van der Laan about this. We are also going to cut back the encroachment on the nature preserve about four feet to try to get it as far away from the sidewalk as possible. We will do it in a major step instead of doing just a small pruning.

Mr. Shelton asked how far back does the CDD land go until it runs into a conservation easement?

Mr. van der Laan responded Mr. Dougherty and I walked the area. We saw some areas that were probably 10 feet over where they should be. This section in particular is probably three to four feet over, but there were some back areas that were neglected for years, which were allowed to encroach out. It is going to take awhile to get this all cut back, but it is a clear definition of where the conservation area starts and drops off.

Mr. Dougherty stated we are bush hogging the buttercup growing four feet in the water around the weeds. We are not cutting the grass this week because it ended up getting stressed by not having been watered. We are trying to run as much water as we can. We are using our time on items that would be extra billable work at no cost to the District by using the labor the District already paid for to do extra projects, like the conservation areas. We will be out there this week.

Mr. Shelton asked are there any plans to do anything about the erosion? In some places the sidewalks stick out to six inches.

Mr. van der Laan responded there are currently no plans, but I will take a look at it.

Mr. Shelton stated I was just curious. If someone trips and breaks their ankle, we could get sued.

B. Activity Report

Mr. van der Laan stated the response I received from Newland on the irrigation repairs was they were not going to reimburse us for the \$7,000 worth of construction-related irrigation repairs incurred from 2006 through September 2007. I compared the irrigation repairs they reimbursed us for construction damage incurred through the first quarter of 2007 to the next nine months they said they would not reimburse us for, and I could not tell the difference between the invoices. I am not sure what they were thinking. Newland basically said they were not responsible for those repairs. I did not know what the agreement was for reimbursement, other

than specifying construction-related damage. Based on the response I received from Newland, I do not know what action the Board wants me to take.

Mr. Cumello stated I do not recall the history of the agreement and recommend reviewing the minutes to see what was said.

Mr. Moyer stated we can do this. Was this in 2007?

Mr. van der Laan responded we did not receive a check from them until the end of 2007, but the reimbursement stopped on December 22, 2006. I do not know how long they were reimbursing prior to that point in time.

Mr. Roy stated I was working for Newland at the time and recall if FP&L damaged irrigation on a project we were working on, it was deemed to be a construction-related reimbursement. However, if the damage occurred somewhere in the Vista Lakes CDD site, not relating to a project, it was not part of the reimbursement. The reimbursement was strictly related to the Avon, Windsor or Warwick construction.

Mr. van der Laan stated this being the case, I do not know whether or not we are going to be able to track these invoices back. The invoices will only specify if there was a Bellsouth installation or any work related to Progress Energy. They are not clear on what construction it related to.

Mr. Roy asked does it identify an area? It may have said "At the corner of Lee Vista and Chickasaw," but there was no construction activity associated with Newland at this location or anywhere on Chickasaw Trail.

Mr. van der Laan stated therefore, we would have to know the area, timeframe, etc. If this information is not available, I do not know if it is going to be possible to determine whether or not they were construction related.

Mr. Cumello stated we need to check the minutes to see if there was any agreement and take it from there. However, it does not sound like you can pinpoint the location or determine whether or not construction was taking place at that time from those invoices.

Mr. van der Laan stated one invoice says "Damaged wires caused by the construction of Home Depot." I do not know if this would qualify as reimbursable.

Mr. Cumello stated when they were building the access roads on Lee Vista Boulevard, they broke all the lines. I recall having a conversation where they said there was several thousand dollars worth of damage and questioned who was going to pay for this damage. The answer we

received from Newland was “This is the City’s right-of-way and they have a right to do this.” The question was why the residents should have to pay for the repair work.

Mr. Roy stated the Home Depot sign in the City right-of-way, of which the CDD maintains the landscape strip, is not under Newland’s control. Therefore, any work in this area would have been on CDD property and would not be the responsibility of Newland.

Mr. Cumello asked should we send the bill to whoever was responsible for this work and say there was several thousand dollars worth of irrigation work required after they installed these access roads?

Mr. Roy stated this is the appropriate course of action.

Mr. van der Laan asked are we still going to research the minutes?

Mr. Moyer responded yes.

On MOTION by Mr. Cumello, seconded by Mr. Shelton, with all in favor, the irrigation repair invoice for repair work performed on Lee Vista Boulevard will be forwarded to David Lamn Construction to reimburse the District for broken irrigation lines when building the access roads for the Home Depot.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisors’ Requests

Mr. Cumello stated at the last meeting we discussed removing the builder signs due to complaints from the residents on the age of the signs. We wanted to know if there was any agreement with the builders to keep the signs there.

Mr. Moyer stated I reviewed the District records and could not find any such agreement. Then I contacted Ms. Dremann to see if she had any recollection of any agreement or permit issued. She emailed me back saying she was not aware of any such agreement or permit, but since there was still building activity within the project, it was her opinion to leave those signs. She offered to submit an application for a permit, if this is something the Board wanted to pursue. If this is the case, I will get back in touch with Ms. Dremann to ask her to submit an application and the Board can place whatever restrictions they wish on the permit such as refurbishment of the signs and expiration of the permit. Those are items to consider as part of the permit process.

Mr. Cumello stated we have a situation where Engle Homes filed Chapter 13 and no longer exists, and they have sold their lots to a third party. Our expectation is it is going to be awhile before any construction takes place there. There is a rumor Lennar stopped new

construction in their areas. I do not see any value to the signs anymore because they are starting to be an eyesore. This is what the residents are complaining about. The signs are eight to nine years old.

Dr. Banks stated when I installed a temporary sign, I was told the builders were free to put up any sign of any size and there was nothing we could do about it. I wanted the sign moved to the other side of the street and it never happened. It seems to me they have a system in place to deal with the signs.

Mr. Moyer stated according to Ms. Dremann they do not have any system in place.

Dr. Banks stated a year or two ago, they did.

On MOTION by Mr. Cumello, seconded by Dr. Banks, with all in favor, the request by Mr. Cumello for removal of the builder signs was approved.

Mr. van der Laan asked does this include the banners on the light poles, which say “VISTA LAKES BY NEWLAND?” They look ragged.

Mr. Cumello stated I would remove them.

Mr. Moyer stated if they want to put them back up, they can bring their request before the Board.

Mr. Cumello stated we will have maintenance staff remove the signs. Three of the Supervisors are on the Army Corps of Engineering Restoration and Advisory Board and it was made clear to us at the last meeting that once they issue a “clearance letter” for CDD property, there were going to be restrictions. One such restriction was not warranting anything below 12 inches in the soil and the property owner having the responsibility for any future work on their property. They are trying to develop the restrictions and the letter. I do not know when we are going to see it because they could not give us a firm date.

Mr. Roy stated it was supposed to happen this week.

Mr. Cumello stated it is going to be interesting to see what restrictions they are going to put on the properties.

Mr. Roy stated they told us after four feet, we have to call them in to re-certify the next four feet.

Mr. Shelton asked at whose cost?

Mr. Roy responded at the Army Corps of Engineer’s cost.

Mr. Cumello stated we should filter this into our thinking as we move forward on how we handle things like this in the future. I am not sure what restrictions would apply on the lakes or any infrastructure we are responsible for in the future.

Mr. Roy stated I am not sure how they apply. I know there are pipes and lift stations in excess of 20 feet deep, drainage in excess of four feet and water mains four feet deep.

Mr. Cumello asked is the water management system turned over to the SJRWMD or does the CDD still have responsibility for it?

Mr. Roy responded the CDD owns and maintains it.

Mr. Cumello stated there is an example of potential problems in the future for repairs.

Mr. Roy stated I do not think this is an issue because if they were going to find something while they installed the piping and lift stations, they would have been found. Nothing has changed since.

Mr. Cumello stated I agree, but there is a liability issue.

Mr. Moyer stated once you get the language, provide it to our future attorney. My immediate reaction would be to place on notice anyone who does work in the future within our property. At least we have something in the public record incorporating the guidance of the Army Corps of Engineers.

Mr. Cumello stated I reminded the Army Corps of Engineers the conservation areas were under the SJRWMD District and again they feigned ignorance. We need to keep reinforcing this because they now have a work plan effectively saying once they have rights of entry, they are going in there to cut. They will not cut any trees three inches or more in diameter, but they are planning on cutting everything else. I am not sure whether or not the permits will allow this. There needs to be some coordination with them when they start to go into the conservation areas.

Mr. Roy stated this is what your letter indicated.

Mr. Cumello asked who are they going to contact?

Mr. Moyer responded they can contact me. The letter will be on District letterhead as well as my signature. We can make it clear that SJRWMD, DEP or any other permitting agencies who have jurisdiction should contact me just to cover anything I might have missed, including the City or County. It is a fairly broad warning of the restrictions on what they can or cannot do on that property.

Mr. Cumello stated if you do not receive any response back from them on this issue, we need to contact them again because they have a work plan starting in June when they are going to start going into these areas.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Audience Comments

There not being any, the next item followed.

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

There being no further business,

On MOTION by Mr. Cumello, seconded by Mr. Holihan, with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.

Gary L. Moyer, Secretary

Randy Holihan, Vice Chairman