

**MINUTES OF MEETING
VISTA LAKES
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT**

The regular meeting of the Board of Supervisors of the Vista Lakes Community Development District was held Wednesday, January 14, 2009, at 10:00 a.m. at the offices of Leland Management, 5955 T.G. Lee Boulevard, Suite 300, Conference Room 3002, Orlando, Florida.

Present and constituting a quorum were:

John Rose	Chairman
Dr. Harold G. Banks	Assistant Secretary
Ron Cumello	Assistant Secretary

Also present were:

Gary L. Moyer	Manager: Moyer Management Group
Scott Clark	Attorney
Barry Roy	Engineer: Bowyer-Singleton
Lee Smith	Holland & Knight
Gary van der Laan	Leland Management

FIRST ORDER OF BUSINESS

Roll Call

Mr. Moyer called the meeting to order and stated all Supervisors were present with the exception of Mr. Holihan and Mr. Shelton.

SECOND ORDER OF BUSINESS

Organizational Matters

A. Appointment of Supervisors for Seats 4 & 5

Mr. Moyer stated if you recall, at our December meeting the Board directed us to place a notice on our website, the HOA website and in the newspaper declaring the vacancies for Seats 4 and 5. We did this and Mr. van der Laan has not heard from anyone nor have I received any interest from anyone to serve on the Board. At this time, it is up to the Board to decide how you want to proceed.

Mr. Cumello asked Dr. Banks, are you still willing to serve?

Dr. Banks responded yes.

Mr. Cumello nominated Pastor Harold Banks to serve in Seat 4 and Mr. Rose seconded the nomination. There being no further nominations, with all in favor, Dr. Banks was re-appointed to Seat 4.

Dr. Banks asked what is the difference in the seat numbers?

Mr. Moyer responded there is no difference. For General Election purposes, the Supervisor of Elections requires seat numbers so we randomly assigned seat numbers a couple of years ago. There is no significance.

Mr. Cumello stated I believe Mr. Shelton said at the last meeting he was interested in serving again.

Mr. Cumello nominated Jim Shelton to serve in Seat 5 and Mr. Rose seconded the nomination. There being no further nominations, with all in favor, Mr. Shelton was re-appointed to Seat 5.

Dr. Banks asked how long are these seats for?

Mr. Moyer responded these are four-year terms.

B. Oath of Office for Newly Elected Supervisors

Mr. Moyer being a notary public of the State of Florida, administered the Oath of Office to Dr. Banks, which will be made a part of the official record.

Mr. Moyer stated I spoke to Mr. Holihan yesterday and explained why he has not been at our meetings in the last couple of months. Apparently, he was appointed to either a Code Enforcement or Building Code Board, which meets at the same time as our meetings. He offered to resign and appoint one of his colleagues to the Board. I asked him if he desired to stay on the Board and if so, I would be willing to suggest to the Board about moving our meetings to the first Wednesday of the month. I do not know if this is a problem for any of you.

Mr. Rose stated the only issue with that is our time and re-notifying everyone that our meeting time has changed.

Mr. Moyer stated we would have to place a notice on the website and re-advertise in the newspaper.

Mr. Cumello stated it is inconvenient for me to change our meeting date.

Mr. Moyer stated Mr. Holihan did not want to cause any problems. If the Board does not want to move their meeting date, I will contact him and let him know.

Mr. Cumello stated so effectively, we have another vacant seat.

Mr. Moyer stated we will have one if Mr. Holihan resigns.

Mr. Rose stated if it is a problem for Mr. Cumello, it is a problem for me.

C. Consideration of Resolution 2009-1, Election of Officers

Mr. Moyer stated as you are aware, we need a Chairman and you are permitted to have a Vice Chairman. The Treasurer and Secretary are usually reserved for staff who handles those duties for you. If the Board wishes to retain the same officers, you can do so by motion.

Mr. Cumello stated Mr. Holihan is currently Vice Chairman. If he resigns, we would have to nominate a new Vice Chairman.

Mr. Moyer stated correct.

Mr. Rose stated we may want to wait until both seats are filled before making any changes.

Mr. Cumello asked can we do that?

Mr. Moyer responded yes.

THIRD ORDER OF BUSINESS

Approval of the Minutes of the December 10, 2008 Meeting

Mr. Moyer stated each Board member received a copy of the minutes of the December 10, 2008 meeting and requested any additions, corrections or deletions.

There not being any,

On MOTION by Mr. Cumello seconded by Mr. Rose with all in favor the minutes of the December 10, 2008 meeting were approved.

FOURTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Manager's Report

A. Financial Statements

Mr. Moyer stated you will notice our tax revenues are only through November on the financial statements. We did not expect to collect a great deal of money through November. The major portion of our monies comes in December and January. Prior to this meeting, I contacted the Accountant for an update and I expect to hear back from them before the end of the meeting to find out how much we have collected. Other Districts are running between 60 percent and 80 percent through December. The mortgage companies pay those tax bills at the first opportunity

and that is why we receive a large part of our revenues in December. I would expect the same for this District.

Mr. Cumello stated last year we had a delay in receiving revenues from tax certificates. Is that correct?

Mr. Moyer responded yes. That was in June.

Mr. Cumello asked considering how the economy is currently with foreclosures and bankruptcies, do you think we are going to have a problem this year?

Mr. Moyer responded no.

B. Invoice Approval #102

Mr. Moyer stated Invoice Approval #102 was provided to the Board.

Mr. Rose stated there was an invoice from the Vista Lakes Community Association dated December 14, 2008 for \$3,180.90. One of their employees was paid twice. I would like to know why this occurred. Mr. Van der Laan is going to check on this and let us know.

On MOTION by Mr. Rose seconded by Mr. Cumello with all in favor the financial statements for the period ending November 30, 2008 and Invoice Approval #102 dated January 2, 2009 in the amount of \$118,023.95 were approved.

C. Discussion of Action Item List

Mr. Moyer stated I provided an action item list to the Board. Some of these items are month to month and are ongoing. The only item requiring a report is the matter regarding SJRWMD.

Mr. Clark stated as of the first of this week, the letter from SJRWMD had not been written. This is disappointing but fairly typical of the way things happen at that agency. I will continue to monitor this every week or so to see that it gets done and you receive a copy of it.

Mr. Cumello stated they did post signs where they opened up the conservation area and placed bob wire across the tracts. They are supposed to put in plantings, but I do not know why they are delaying it.

FIFTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Attorney's Report

Mr. Clark stated the stormwater easement with Narcoossee Industrial Park was approved and fully executed. I forwarded this to Mr. Moyer. Did you sign it?

Mr. Moyer responded yes.

Mr. Clark asked where did you send it after you signed it?

Mr. Moyer responded back to the individual who sent it.

Mr. Clark stated since the last meeting, we received a summons from the District Office where the District was named as a defendant in a foreclosure action. This is not unusual as it happens from time to time. However, this particular mortgage holder is implying that their interest will affect the District without specifying anything. Without spending a lot of money, we will respond to this. I think we have to respond and request the complaint be dismissed, unless they rewrite it in some other way because we have assessment rights that are superior to mortgages. Other than continuing to monitor the Pinecastle Jeep matter, this is all we have ongoing.

Mr. Rose asked what are we being sued for?

Mr. Clark responded let me clarify this further. Mortgage foreclosures are all over the map now. They typically originate from "*Foreclosure Mills*" where people sit in a big room and generate foreclosure complaints. Those people do not understand what a CDD is. When they did a title search and saw the Notice of Establishment of a CDD, they just included us as a defendant as if we are a regular lienholder that needed to be foreclosed out. Once in awhile this happens. We will respond to this by moving to dismiss their complaint because it does not state a cause of action against the CDD. Sometimes a young Attorney will call me and say "*What is the problem?*" Then I educate them and generally they agree to drop us as a party since we are standing in the way of getting their foreclosure done.

Mr. Rose stated so it is the forecloser that is suing us.

Mr. Clark stated correct.

Mr. Cumello asked in a foreclosure, if the property owner or the bank owes the CDD money, is there a guarantee that we are going to get it?

Mr. Clark responded we cannot be foreclosed out by any interest whatsoever. When a bank tries to foreclose us they cannot do so, because we are first in line ahead of them. It is usually an enlightenment to them when they find this out. Generally after they figure this out, they drop the CDD as a party because they do not know what to do with us at that point.

Mr. Cumello asked do we receive our money once the foreclosure is closed out?

Mr. Clark responded yes. Just like when we receive our assessments with tax liens, the taxes survive foreclosure. The mortgagee who acquires the property has to pay all of the taxes as well as future taxes including ours.

SIXTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Engineer's Report

Mr. Roy stated I do not see any new action items. There was a comment regarding the Lake Champlain Road settlement being related to a stormwater issue. Actually an Orange County Utility sanitary sewer system had leaked and caused the settlement on Lake Champlain Road. There was also mention of the conservation area opening between Melrose to the lake that is visible from Chickasaw Trail. A storm culvert was cut through the conservation area in an easement connecting Melrose and the lake system. That is why there is an opening.

Mr. Rose asked did you ever get the fence opening moved to where it was supposed to be?

Mr. Roy responded I have not been up there.

Mr. van der Laan asked are you referring to the opening by Home Depot?

Mr. Rose responded yes.

Mr. van der Laan stated that has been repaired.

Mr. Cumello stated this does not pertain to us but we have another settlement issue with the roads in Amhurst, which the City is looking into. In their preliminary review, since there is no water management in the area, they do not know what is causing the settlement at this time.

Mr. Roy asked is it in the center of the road?

Mr. Cumello responded yes. It is a foot or so deep. They just patched it.

Dr. Banks stated we have been complaining about the road going north out of Lee Vista. It keeps getting worse. Every time I drive on that road, it is like driving down a dirt road. Is the City ever going to resurface it?

Mr. Roy responded I thought I saw some correspondence from Mr. Shelton and the City saying this was included in their overlay program.

Dr. Banks asked are we going to be doing some work in there?

Mr. Roy responded some time we will be.

Mr. Cumello stated the City told us at a meeting they plan to resurface Chickasaw Trail running north.

Dr. Banks stated that is the road I am worried about. It bothers me.

Mr. Cumello stated they will be doing this resurfacing in the next few years.

Dr. Banks stated by that time, the road will be full of holes.

Mr. Cumello stated we have a serious settling problem on Chickasaw Trail between Melrose and Horizons. The road has dropped six to eight inches.

Dr. Banks stated the entire road from Lee Vista Boulevard is getting bad. It feels like a dirt road.

Mr. Cumello stated this is something we need to consider when we do the Reserve Study for the CDD roads since we are seeing these problems all over the neighborhood. We should look to see what we should be reserving for. It is only a matter of time until we have the same problem in Gentry Park and in the commercial area.

Mr. Roy stated I believe they are allocating funds for road repairs; overlay and base repairs in the Reserve Study. Where is the settlement in Chickasaw, Melrose and Horizons?

Mr. Cumello responded right before the entry into Horizons, on the northeast side of the turn lane near their gate.

Mr. Roy asked is this where the road narrows down?

Mr. Cumello responded yes. This is where the road narrows from four lanes to two lanes at the entryway to Horizons.

Mr. Roy stated I think this is where the road starts going north, turns east and then goes back north.

Mr. Rose stated it is right before you go back north.

Mr. Cumello stated right before the Amenity Center.

Mr. Roy stated if it is the same area I am thinking about, when Chickasaw Trail was first built, it was a two lane road and the City came back and wanted to expand it so the convergence of the four lanes occurred over a longer distance. The driveway to Horizons was already in and the road typical section from a two percent cross slope was flattened out to the drive turn in. However, when they widened the road just past the entrance, they were back to the two percent cross slope. So you are going from a two percent, flat, two percent and this happens to be right in that corner. I do not think it is an actual settlement. I think the entrance into Horizons should have been modified when they modified that section of road to remove the flat section.

Mr. Rose stated it seems to be getting worse.

Mr. Cumello stated when you drive over it, you definitely know it.

Mr. Rose stated that entire section of Chickasaw Trail is hidden and wavy.

Mr. Cumello asked did we receive any more requests for Narcoossee Road to tie into the water management? I know they are doing a lot of construction there.

Mr. Roy responded I received a phone call from Mr. Ben Gray at the City of Orlando. They are working with the Shop-n-Go at the very south end by the Beeline Expressway. It is all part of the Narcoossee Road widening. It is not an actual request for a connection, but they are working with the City to connect to work the City is doing. No other individual tracts along there have made any requests. We have to try to direct all the requests to Mr. Moyer so he can disburse them to us. There is a checklist of information they need to fill out.

Mr. Cumello asked are they extending McCoy going east, just north of the gas station and just south of our property where the bus depots are?

Mr. Roy responded yes.

Mr. Cumello asked is that going to have any impact on us from the standpoint of taking water?

Mr. Roy responded it should not impact the CDD. Everyone is impacted by pre-development flows so if they expand that road, they will need to have a retention pond with an outfall structure that will limit the discharge into the culvert.

Mr. Cumello asked what about the road that was supposed to go into the Mockingbird property?

Mr. Roy responded I think that is all tied up in the Army Corp. of Engineers as far as any development.

Mr. Cumello stated I think an agreement was signed two years ago giving them a right-of-way through the CDD portion of the property. This was at the south end of Windsor. The agreement contemplated if a road was ever built, a berm would also be built.

Mr. Roy stated there would be a berm and landscaping.

Mr. Cumello asked how long is that agreement effective for?

Mr. Roy responded I do not know if there was a termination date.

Dr. Banks asked is that the end of Passaic?

Mr. Roy responded no, the very south end of Windsor, along the west property line. There was a cul-de-sac down Windsor across a couple of lots.

Mr. Cumello stated two lots were taken out of a plot in Windsor.

Mr. Smith stated there was no expiration date in the agreement. It was a permanent easement. The term was a condition of the agreement.

SEVENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

**Community Association Manager –
Agronomist Report**

A. Activity Report

B. Agronomist Report

Mr. van der Laan stated there was no Agronomist Report due to the December holiday and the contractor moving back their schedule. Next month you will receive December's completed report. I have not yet received the reimbursement check from Sullivan Properties for maintenance of the parkway. I originally sent them the Reimbursement Agreement in September. The last I heard, they were going to have the check cut the first of December and we would have it by the end of December, but as of yet, we have not received it. I do not know how much longer you want me to hold off. I contact them every month to get an update and they keep telling me that it is coming. Maybe we should give them another month and see what happens.

Mr. Clark asked is this for maintenance of the grass?

Mr. van der Laan responded it is for maintenance of the parkway. They were supposed to reimburse us. They agreed to it and did not dispute the maintenance of the mowing, plantings and annual flowers and trimming of the center medians. This is the 2008 amount. We have not received any of the monthly reimbursements going forward.

Mr. Moyer stated we can write them a demand letter.

Mr. Clark asked do we have a written agreement?

Mr. van der Laan responded yes.

Mr. Clark stated I would like to see a copy of the agreement and the invoice so I can write the demand letter.

Mr. van der Laan stated I can send you the agreement and all the correspondence I had with them. Maybe next month you can advise us how to handle this matter.

Mr. Clark stated whatever the Board's wishes.

Mr. Rose stated I say we wait another month and keep on top of them.

Mr. Clark stated send me those items in the interim so I can educate myself.

Dr. Banks stated when we are finished with our property; we have 30 feet of CDD property as an easement to the east. Our plan is to maintain it, unless someone has a problem.

Mr. Cumello asked do you have an easement to come across CDD property for your driveway?

Dr. Banks responded we are not on CDD property.

Mr. Cumello stated Passaic is.

Dr. Banks stated no it is not. It belongs to the City of Orlando. The property at the end belongs to Mockingbird.

Mr. Cumello stated I do not think so. That is why we have this Maintenance Agreement.

Dr. Banks stated the triangle and the end of the road belongs to Mockingbird.

Mr. Cumello stated I am confused as to why we have a Maintenance Agreement with Sullivan Properties if we do not own it.

Mr. Roy stated the other side of the road is not owned by Mockingbird. The center is also not owned by Mockingbird, but the east side is.

Mr. Cumello stated we need to pull that agreement.

Mr. Roy stated the easement spells out the ownership of the entire road. I will pull it out and look.

Mr. Cumello stated I think you have a driveway going into the property off of Passiac. You should have an easement across the owner of that property.

Dr. Banks stated we do.

Mr. Moyer stated I am sure we have all of the documents because I recall this discussion.

Mr. Roy stated the landscaping belongs to the CDD.

Mr. Cumello stated there was a question when we discussed this issue about whether the HOA owned Passaic and I think we clarified the CDD owned Passaic. There is a Maintenance Agreement with Sullivan Properties to maintain it and the intent was for the property to eventually go to the City of Orlando. I thought that was the intent of the agreement.

Mr. Rose stated that is what I recall.

Dr. Banks stated I think Mockingbird is decided that way as well.

Mr. Moyer stated if you bring those items to the next meeting, we will put them on the table.

Dr. Banks stated the mistake that the developer made was not giving in to us.

Mr. Cumello stated you are sitting where six or seven homes were supposed to be.

Dr. Banks stated they did that recently. That is not long term. They gave that property to Mockingbird recently. That is what disturbs me.

Mr. Cumello stated to follow-up on our Passaic discussion, the Army Corp. of Engineers has now put up a chain link fence on the Mockingbird property going all the way over to the CDD wall. I do not think they attached it to the wall because we did not give them permission to do so. They are using that access to go into the Mockingbird property. From what the residents tell me, they are there every day.

Mr. Rose stated that is a portion of CDD land that you are going to maintain after you are done with Passaic.

Dr. Banks stated correct.

Mr. Rose stated it sounds like some type of agreement needs to be drawn up.

Dr. Banks stated whatever has to be done in order for us to maintain it.

Mr. Clark asked is there a grassy swale?

Dr. Banks responded there is a 30 foot swale.

Mr. Clark stated there is no actual landscaping.

Dr. Banks stated just grass.

Mr. Cumello stated this reminds me of another issue. We had 25 homes where the easement was a foot off of the wall that is CDD property. In cases where we had people who wanted to attach their fence to the wall, Ms. Buzyniski sent out Access Agreements to all property owners. We have not discussed this issue in awhile. Have all 25 owners signed these agreements?

Dr. Banks responded no one signed them.

Mr. Roy stated I think there was an ARC requirement that if we were going to approve the fence, they had to sign this document.

Mr. Rose stated yes, but three to four people already built fences and we needed their agreements. I thought you were taking care of those people.

Mr. Moyer stated we provided them with the materials that Ms. Buzyniski sent to them. I know Ms. Brenda Wright followed up a couple of times and they refused to sign the agreement.

Mr. Rose stated then order them to remove their fences.

Mr. Moyer stated we can follow-up with them and tell them to remove their fences.

Mr. Rose asked what reason did they give?

Mr. Moyer responded they had a general lack of understanding of what we were doing. I think they viewed it as giving up their property right.

Mr. Cumello asked is there any downside to this if they refuse to sign their Access Agreements?

Mr. Clark responded I need to see the agreement. The concern is when people do something without permission over time, it becomes a descriptive right and they can do it forever without any conditions on them. Generally you say "*We recognize you are doing this and give you permission to do it, but these are the conditions.*" You do this to keep them from acquiring an unconditional right to do this forever. The remedy usually would be if they do not sign what you want them to sign; you send them another letter saying "*Our crews will be out there in 30 days to remove the fences since you do not wish to enter into an agreement with us*". Maybe that will get them to sign or we will have to follow-up.

Mr. Cumello stated it sounds like we need to draft a follow-up letter to them that says "*We sent you correspondence and have not received it back*".

Mr. Moyer stated I will run this by Mr. Clark before sending the letter.

Mr. Clark stated I will get with you and get the agreements and the parties involved.

Mr. Rose stated lets not spend any more legal money on this. We already spent thousands of dollars drafting this agreement in the first place. Can we just have the Management Company handle it?

Mr. Cumello responded we are not trying to get the residents to remove their fence. We are trying to get them to sign the agreement.

Mr. Rose responded sure, but we are already paying for the Managers.

Mr. Moyer stated the downside is not to us. The reason why we wanted it was this was a small piece of property, a one foot strip that we needed to maintain, but we cannot maintain it because we do not have legal access. The risk we run is if someone calls Code Enforcement and says there are four foot high weeds. Then our response to Code Enforcement is we have no access to go in there. I do not think it is in our interest to be spending District money to maintain a one foot strip of property that actually looks like private property and is used like private property. Maybe we should think about whether there is a vehicle to abandon that one foot strip.

Mr. Cumello asked in all cases or just where they have a fence?

Mr. Moyer responded in all cases, unless Mr. Roy says we need the one foot strip.

Mr. Roy stated it is part of the drainage easement.

Mr. Rose stated we are going to need to maintain the wall eventually.

Dr. Banks asked why is the one foot strip there?

Mr. Roy responded there are columns on the wall. The column footer is on the property line.

Mr. Clark stated so we have a wall to maintain to the extent it ever needs to be maintained.

Mr. Roy stated there is a five foot wall easement.

Mr. Clark asked in favor of the CDD?

Mr. Roy responded yes.

Mr. Clark stated we can handle the maintenance so the mowing of the strip is not worth any benefit to the CDD.

Mr. Moyer stated I do not think so.

Mr. Clark stated I think we can find a way to abandon it. One of the efficiencies in Chapter 190 is the ability of CDD's to get rid of property. We have them all over the State. I would like to get rid of the property and get out of the maintenance obligation. The only clean way to do this is to transfer the property to another governmental entity. But this is only a one foot strip and I think we can put something green in there and more or less preserve it.

Mr. Rose stated I say we either have them sign the agreements or do nothing.

Mr. Moyer stated we will send them another letter. That is all we are going to do and hopefully they will sign it.

Dr. Banks stated I think we need to at least send them a letter.

Mr. Cumello stated we need to track this on the action item list so future Boards are aware of decisions we make.

Mr. Moyer stated after we sent out the forms and reminder letters we removed it from the action item list completely because there was nothing we felt we could do to follow-up. We will put it back on the action item list.

Mr. Cumello stated I am not sure whether those property owners received clearance letters from the Army Corp. of Engineers because those areas had to stop work and they could not put up any fences or pools. We may not be where they can put anything they want to, but the four or five owners who have fences, need to remove them.

Mr. Rose stated there was a jointer or something that needed to be filed with the Property Appraiser.

Mr. Cumello asked was this filed by Ms. Buzyniski?

Mr. Rose responded yes. That document was not going to be filed until we received the agreements. So I guess that has not been filed either.

Mr. Moyer stated correct.

Mr. Cumello stated each year we are doing re-plantings and putting money in the budget for this purpose. For 2009, have we done any re-plantings?

Mr. van der Laan responded so far for 2009, we planted 30 Oak Trees. Most of them went along Chickasaw Trail and some went along Vista Park Boulevard. We also did the first five sections of the Water Wise re-plantings to see how those fared through the winter. I do not have the plans with me for the rest of the Water Wise re-plantings along Lee Vista Boulevard. I think there was \$22,000 worth of re-plantings. Then we will have \$20,000 remaining to get us through the year.

Mr. Cumello asked do we have a plan on where we want to do the remaining re-plantings?

Mr. van der Laan responded we have a plan for everything up until that last section. I wanted to leave money in the budget because we have normal plantings that are going to die and will need to be replaced throughout the course of the year. I did not want to commit any more money until we were further into the year.

Mr. Cumello stated we had a lot of algae in Lake Bedford. I believe we still have an algae problem. Is there someone else we need to bring in to take a look at this? There was a strong odor coming off of the lake several weeks ago. Someone questioned whether the aerator was big enough.

Mr. Rose responded that was suggested before.

Mr. van der Laan stated I can look at it. The lake maintenance company did not seem to think so. Actually the Agronomist ended up looking at it and had a similar opinion because you have a shallow section of lake that empties into a deep section. They think that change is what is causing the algae buildup. I can have another lake maintenance company evaluate it to see if we get a different opinion.

Mr. Cumello stated we are starting to get complaints. Did we put the aerator in Lake Pembroke?

Mr. van der Laan responded the one inside of Lake Pembroke is in. The next two aerators that will be installed will be in the small lake outside of Pembroke along Lee Vista Boulevard. I do not recall the other lake. We had all of the lakes checked for oxygen levels and we chose the worst ones.

Mr. Cumello stated the lakes in Waverly and Melrose do not have aerators.

Mr. van der Laan stated the lake in Melrose has an aerator. This is the one we identify as Lake Champlain. That was the first one that was done last year.

EIGHTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Supervisors' Requests

Mr. Rose asked do we know if the Progress Energy rate increases are going to affect us?

Mr. Moyer responded I would assume they are.

Mr. Rose asked are we exempt in any way?

Mr. Moyer responded no.

Mr. Rose stated that is going to be a huge issue for our residents.

Mr. Moyer stated I agree.

Mr. Cumello asked are the rates increasing by 14 percent?

Mr. Rose responded no, by 25 percent.

NINTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Audience Comments

There not being any, the next item followed

TENTH ORDER OF BUSINESS

Adjournment

There being no further business,

On MOTION by Dr. Banks seconded by Mr. Rose with all in favor, the meeting was adjourned.

Gary L. Moyer
Secretary

John Rose
Chairman